

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/764,202	RAFIE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John P. Darling. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 January 2006

**Time:** 10am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes

Prior art documents discussed:

No


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Correct Claims so as to avoid 112 2<sup>nd</sup>, Paragraph rejections (Claims 25-26 & 30-32).*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)